

wildlife (including any federally listed threatened or endangered species); protection of drinking water supplies; recovery of threatened and endangered species; protection and improvement of wetlands, riparian lands and other environmentally sensitive areas; consolidation of land ownership for improved public access and a broad array of recreational uses; and consolidation of land ownership to achieve management efficiency and reduced costs of administration; and

(3) developing a joint report for submission to the Congress which discusses land exchange opportunities in the basin and outlines either a specific land exchange proposal or proposals which may merit consideration by the Secretaries or the Congress, or ideas and recommendations for new authorizations, direction, or changes in existing law or policy to expedite and facilitate the consummation of beneficial land exchanges in the basin via administrative means.

(b) **MATTERS FOR SPECIFIC STUDY.**—In analyzing land exchange opportunities with ULEP, the Secretaries shall give priority to assisting ULEP's ongoing efforts in—

(1) studying, identifying and mapping areas where the consolidation of land ownership via land exchanges could promote the goals of long term species protection, including the goals of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 more effectively than current land ownership patterns and whether any changes in law or policy applicable to such lands after consummation of an exchange would be advisable or necessary to achieve such goals;

(2) studying, identifying and mapping areas where land exchanges might be utilized to better satisfy the goals of sustainable timber harvest, including studying whether changes in existing law or policy applicable to such lands after consummation of an exchange would be advisable or necessary to achieve such goals;

(3) identifying issues and studying options and alternatives, including possible changes in existing law or policy, to insure that combined post-exchange revenues to units of local government from State and local property, severance and other taxes or levies and shared Federal land receipts will approximate pre-exchange revenues;

(4) identifying issues and studying whether possible changes in law, special appraisal instruction, or changes in certain Federal appraisal procedures might be advisable or necessary to facilitate the appraisal of potential exchange lands which may have special characteristics or restrictions affecting land values;

(5) identifying issues and studying options and alternatives, including changes in existing laws or policy, for achieving land exchanges without reducing the net supply of timber available to small businesses;

(6) identifying, mapping, and recommending potential changes in land use plans, land classifications, or other actions which might be advisable or necessary to expedite, facilitate or consummate land exchanges in certain areas; and

(7) analyzing potential sources for new or enhanced Federal, State or other funding to promote improved resource protection, species recovery, and management in the basin.

#### SEC. 802. REPORT TO CONGRESS.

No later than February 1, 1998, ULEP and the Secretaries shall submit a joint report to the Committee on Resources of the United States House of Representatives and to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the United States Senate concerning their studies, findings, recommendations, mapping and other activities conducted pursuant to this title.

#### SEC. 803. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.

In furtherance of the purposes of this title, there is hereby authorized to be appropriated

the sum of \$2,000,000, to remain available until expended.

#### LEGISLATIVE BRANCH APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 1997—CONFERENCE REPORT

Mr. BOND. Mr. President, I submit a report of the committee of conference on H.R. 3754 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The report will be stated.

The assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

The committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendments of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 3754) making appropriations for the Legislative Branch for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1997, and for other purposes, having met, after full and free conference, have agreed to recommend and do recommend to their respective Houses this report, signed by a majority of the conferees.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, the Senate will proceed to the consideration of the conference report.

(The conference report is printed in the House proceedings of the RECORD of July 30, 1996.)

Mr. MACK. Mr. President, the conference report is an appropriation of \$2,165,000,000, for the legislative branch for fiscal year 1997.

This is a reduction of \$22 million below the enacted program levels in fiscal year 1996, \$174 million below the requested amount, and, compared to fiscal 1995 the bill reflects a \$225 million reduction.

Mr. President, I thank the members of the committee, and especially our ranking member, Senator MURRAY for her help and cooperation in producing this legislation.

Mrs. MURRAY. Mr. President, I rise in support of the conference agreement to H.R. 3754, the fiscal year 1997 legislative branch appropriation bill.

I commend Senator MACK for his leadership of the managers on the part of the Senate. I also compliment the House conferees, particularly the House subcommittee chairman, Mr. PACKARD, and his minority counterpart, Mr. THORNTON. Their obvious knowledge of this legislation certainly played a large part in the expeditious manner in which this conference proceeded.

All in all, Mr. President, this is a good conference agreement. In most cases, we split the difference with the House with respect to the funding levels for the related agencies in this bill. One exception is the funding for the Joint Economic Committee. The Senate-passed bill funded that committee at a level of \$750,000 and the House-passed bill at a level of \$3,000,000. The conferees agreed to a funding level of \$2,750,000 with language stating that the long-term need for this committee should be reviewed and that funding for the committee is expected to be phased down to zero in the future.

In addition, I was pleased that the House accepted my amendment regard-

ing the disposal of excess computer equipment to public schools. And, at the recommendation of Congressmen FAZIO and SERRANO, the House included language stating that they support this policy for excess House computer equipment.

Mr. President, I note that section 312 of the House-passed bill, the issue of so-called dynamic scoring, was dropped from the conference agreement. As Members are aware from previous statements I have made on the floor, I steadfastly opposed this provision and, therefore, am pleased to report that the House agreed to remove this provision from the conference agreement.

In closing, I again commend this Legislative Branch Subcommittee chairman, Senator MACK, for his leadership and for the spirit of bipartisanship in which he operates. Many difficult issues have arisen with respect to this legislation over the 2 years of his subcommittee chairmanship and he has unfailingly faced those issues and resolved them in a fair and objective way on a nonpartisan basis. He has always endeavored to keep me fully informed on all matters coming before the subcommittee, for which I am deeply appreciative.

I urge the support of this conference agreement by all Members.

Mr. DOMENICI. Mr. President, I rise in support of the conference report on H.R. 3754, the legislative branch appropriations bill for fiscal year 1997.

This bill provides new budget authority of \$2.2 billion and new outlays of \$1.9 billion for the Congress and other legislative branch agencies, including the Library of Congress, the General Accounting Office, and the Government Printing Office, among others.

When outlays from prior-year appropriations and other adjustments are taken into account, the bill totals \$2.3 billion in budget authority and \$2.2 billion in outlays. The bill is under the Senate subcommittee's 602(b) allocation by \$21 million in budget authority and \$47 million in outlays.

I commend the distinguished chairman and ranking member for producing a bill that is substantially within their 602(b) allocation. I am pleased that this bill continues to hold the line on congressional spending.

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that a table displaying the Budget Committee scoring of H.R. 3754, as reported by the committee of conference, be inserted in the RECORD. I urge the Senate to support this conference report.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

#### LEGISLATIVE BRANCH SUBCOMMITTEE SPENDING TOTALS—CONFERENCE REPORT

(Fiscal year 1997, dollars in millions)

	Budget authority	Outlays
Nondefense discretionary:		
Outlays from prior-year BA and other actions completed .....		214

LEGISLATIVE BRANCH SUBCOMMITTEE SPENDING  
TOTALS—CONFERENCE REPORT—Continued

(Fiscal year 1997, dollars in millions)

	Budget authority	Outlays
H.R. 3754, conference report .....	2,166	1,917
Scorekeeping adjustment .....		
Subtotal nondefense discretionary .....	2,166	2,131
Mandatory:		
Outlays from prior-year BA and other actions completed .....	92	92
H.R. 3754, conference report .....		
Adjustment to conform mandatory programs with Budget:		
Resolution assumptions .....	-0	-0
Subtotal mandatory .....	92	92
Adjusted bill total .....	2,258	2,223
Senate Subcommittee 602(b) allocation:		
Defense discretionary .....		
Nondefense discretionary .....	2,187	2,178
Violent crime reduction trust fund .....		
Mandatory .....	92	92
Total allocation .....	2,279	2,270
Adjusted bill total compared to Senate Subcommittee 602(b) allocation:		
Defense discretionary .....		
Nondefense discretionary .....	-21	-47
Violent crime reduction trust fund .....		
Mandatory .....		
Total allocation .....	-21	-47

Note.—Details may not add to totals due to rounding. Totals adjusted for consistency with current scorekeeping conventions.

Prepared by SBC Majority Staff.

BOOKS FOR THE BLIND

Mr. CHAFEE. Mr. President, I am pleased to support final passage of the conference report on the appropriations bill for the legislative branch. The managers of the bill have done a laudable job in their continued efforts to reduce spending, and I am particularly delighted that they were able to include my amendment on books for the blind.

This amendment, which makes a very small change in current copyright law, will make an enormous difference to our Nation's blind children and adults. It has the approval of the authorizing committees in both Chambers, as well as the support of the National Federation of the Blind, the American Foundation for the Blind, the American Printing House for the Blind, Recording for the Blind and Dyslexic, the American Council of the Blind, the Association of American Publishers, and the U.S. Office of Copyright.

The amendment which I offered with Senators FRAHM, STEVENS, LEAHY, MCCONNELL, BINGAMAN, FRIST, FORD, PRESSLER, and DEWINE resulted from the efforts of Ambassador Anthony Veliotis, representing the Association of American Publishers, and Dr. Kenneth Jernigan, representing the blindness community. In January, they met and agreed that this amendment would address the needs of the blindness community without compromising the rights and interests of the publishers. I greatly appreciate their help and the help of my constituent, Ed Beck, the legislative representative for the Rhode Island affiliate of the National Federation of the Blind, who first brought this to my attention.

National Library Service and a number of nonprofit organizations, such as

The American Printing House for the Blind and Recording for the Blind and Dyslexic, reproduce, in specialized formats, published material that is readily available to sighted individuals in libraries, bookstores, newsstands, and countless other locations. "Specialized formats" refers to braille, sound recordings—either on cassette or phonorecord—and new digital formats that can be used with special software. My amendment seeks to end the unintended censorship of blind individuals' access to current information. Under this amendment, groups that produce specialized formats for the blind no longer are required to gain permission from the copyright holder before beginning production.

James Gashel of the National Federation of the Blind was invaluable in his efforts to help us put forth a proposal that would be acceptable to all sides. He is a strong and able spokesman for the blind. Also, I would like to thank the managers of the bill, who were completely accepting of this amendment, as well as their staff, Larry Harris with Senator MACK and Jim English with Senator MURRAY.

Let me close by quoting from a letter I received from Mr. Gashel that explains the significance of this amendment to the blindness community. Mr. Gashel writes:

This is a significant change for us. It means, for example, that the current best-sellers, which the Library of Congress produces for us, should be available in Braille or recorded format within months rather than a year or more. It also means that blind children in schools should be able to have the editions of textbooks being used by their sighted classmates this year rather than the ones in use last year.

I yield the floor.

Mr. MCCAIN. Mr. President, I want to commend the managers of the bill for reconciling the differences between the two bodies on this measure. They have indeed produced a good bill.

I want to comment on one issue in specific. I am saddened that the managers did not keep language offered by Senator FEINGOLD and myself to stop the revolving door and restrict former staff and Members from lobbying the Hill until after a decent cooling-off period elapses. Unfortunately, this issue will not be resolved today and we will have to return to it at another time.

Our amendment would have doubled from 1 year to 2 the time a staffer would have to wait before he or she could lobby the office that previously employed them. It was our intention that senior staff and former Members would have to wait 5 years before being able to lobby.

Some have raised the issue that the amendment offered by the Senator from Wisconsin and myself was harder on senior staff than it was on Members. I want to clarify for the RECORD that in the drafting process, we inadvertently neglected to include the provision that made the lobbying ban for Members 5 years. Instead, the amendment as offered, made the lobbying ban for Mem-

bers the same as that for lower level staff. Again, I want to repeat, that was not our intention. We had hoped that our error could be corrected when the bill was considered in conference. However, we were told that the Senate receded to the House regarding this matter and subsequently the McCain-Feingold provision was dropped from the bill.

Additionally, I want to note that the cooling-off period for staff—regardless of salary—only restricts that individual from lobbying his or her own boss or committee of employment. On the other hand, the cooling-off period for former Members of Congress would restrict such individuals from lobbying the entire congressional branch of government. This restriction is much tougher than that for staff. However, I again repeat, it should have been a 5-year restriction.

I thank my colleagues for their indulgence. I yield the floor.

GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE ISSUES

Mr. DOMENICI. Mr. President, this conference report provides \$338.4 million for the General Accounting Office. This is \$44.4 million less than 1996 appropriations. This reduction is the second year of a program to reduce GAO funding by a total of 25 percent.

GAO should be commended for agreeing to this downsizing program. GAO's work here is an example to Federal agencies for how to downsize quickly, while still performing the agency's mission.

In addition to this model downsizing, there are two matters of note for GAO this year.

First, this year is GAO's 75th anniversary. I want to express to the evaluator staff at GAO my sincere appreciation for their hard work, much of it on difficult and controversial issues and all of it under the strenuous circumstance of a major downsizing.

Also, this is the last year of Comptroller General Charles A. Bowsher's 15-year term. After September 30 Congress and the President will jointly select and appoint a new Comptroller General. As that process is started, I want to express three thoughts that I believe should be kept in mind as a new Comptroller General is selected.

First, it has been traditional to select an individual with an accounting background as Comptroller General. However, most of GAO's work is actually not accounting; much of it is evaluations and other types of investigations. We should consider for Comptroller General an individual who has a broad background in the type of work GAO actually does, not necessarily just accounting. I believe this approach may help in improving the quality of GAO work that has concerned some of us in recent years.

Second, for many years I have been an advocate of outside expert peer review of enforce high quality standards of GAO's work. I continue to believe that GAO work would benefit from regular review by outside experts, and I

will want to discuss how best to effect such review with the new Comptroller General-designate as the Senate confirms his, or her, nomination.

Third, my concern for peer review for GAO reports has been heightened by a recent GAO action. GAO has just decided to eliminate its Program Evaluation and Methodology Division. While very small, this division has distinguished itself by producing some exceptional reports and by helping other GAO divisions improve the quality of many of their reports. Disbanding this division will eliminate and important source of internal expert review; this decision increases the need for external peer review.

I congratulate Comptroller General Bowsher and GAO and their 75th anniversary and I look forward to working with GAO and my colleagues in the Senate to continue this agency's tradition of very important and valuable work for Congress.

Mr. BOND. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the conference report be agreed to, the motion to reconsider be laid upon the table, and that any statements relating to the conference report be placed in the RECORD at this point.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The conference report was agreed to.

#### ORDERS FOR WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 4, 1996

Mr. BOND. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that when the Senate completes its business today it stand in adjournment until the hour of 9:30 a.m. on Wednesday, September 4; further, that immediately following the prayer the Journal of proceedings be deemed approved to date, the morning hour be deemed to have expired, and the time for the two leaders be reserved for their use later in the day, and that the Senate immediately resume consideration of H.R. 3666, the VA-HUD appropriations bill; and, further, that the Senate recess between the hours of 12:30 p.m. and 2:15 p.m. for the weekly policy conferences to meet.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

#### PROGRAM

Mr. BOND. Mr. President, for the information of all Members, there is a pending committee amendment to the VA-HUD appropriations bill that we are hoping to reach a time agreement on which would allow for a rollcall vote perhaps tomorrow morning prior to the Senate recessing for the weekly policy conferences. We hope that we can adopt a timeframe and time agreements on these measures.

We also expect that on Wednesday the Senate will take action on a resolution in regard to the situation in Iraq.

Rollcall votes are therefore expected throughout the day, and it is hoped that any Senators intending to offer an

amendment to the pending bill will be available tomorrow so that we may complete action on this appropriations bill as early as possible tomorrow.

#### ADJOURNMENT UNTIL 9:30 A.M. TOMORROW

Mr. BOND. Mr. President, if there is no further business to come before the Senate, I now ask that the Senate stand in adjournment under the previous order.

There being no objection, the Senate, at 5:49 p.m., adjourned until Wednesday, September 4, 1996, at 9:30 a.m.

#### NOMINATIONS

Executive nominations received by the Senate August 2, 1996.

##### DEPARTMENT OF STATE

MADELEINE KORBEL ALBRIGHT, OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, TO BE REPRESENTATIVE OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA TO THE FIFTY-FIRST SESSION OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE UNITED NATIONS.

EDWARD WILLIAM GNEHM, JR., OF GEORGIA, TO BE REPRESENTATIVE OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA TO THE FIFTY-FIRST SESSION OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE UNITED NATIONS.

KARL FREDERICK INDERFURTH, OF NORTH CAROLINA, TO BE REPRESENTATIVE OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA TO THE FIFTY-FIRST SESSION OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE UNITED NATIONS.

VICTOR MARRERO, OF NEW YORK, TO BE ALTERNATE REPRESENTATIVE OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA TO THE FIFTY-FIRST SESSION OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE UNITED NATIONS.

##### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

SUSAN G. ESSERMAN, OF MARYLAND, TO BE GENERAL COUNSEL OF THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, VICE GINGER EHN LEW.

##### NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

MARY K. GAILLARD, OF CALIFORNIA, TO BE A MEMBER OF THE NATIONAL SCIENCE BOARD, NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION, FOR A TERM EXPIRING MAY 10, 2002, VICE MARY E. FOX, TERM EXPIRED.

EAMON M. KELLY, OF LOUISIANA, TO BE A MEMBER OF THE NATIONAL SCIENCE BOARD, NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION, FOR A TERM EXPIRING MAY 10, 2002, VICE HOWARD E. SIMMONS, TERM EXPIRED.

RICHARD A. TAPIA, OF TEXAS, TO BE A MEMBER OF THE NATIONAL SCIENCE BOARD, NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION, FOR A TERM EXPIRING MAY 10, 2002, VICE PHILLIP A. GRIFFITHS, TERM EXPIRED.

##### LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION

ERNESTINE P. WATLINGTON, OF PENNSYLVANIA, TO BE A MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION FOR A TERM EXPIRING JULY 13, 1999, (REAPPOINTMENT)

##### NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF BUILDING SCIENCES

NIRANJAN S. SHAH, OF ILLINOIS, TO BE A MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF BUILDING SCIENCES FOR A TERM EXPIRING SEPTEMBER 7, 1998, VICE JOHN H. MILLER, TERM EXPIRED.

##### THE JUDICIARY

ROBERT W. PRATT, OF IOWA, TO BE U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA, VICE HAROLD D. VIETOR, RETIRED.

#### NOMINATIONS

Executive nominations received by the Senate September 3, 1996:

##### DEPARTMENT OF STATE

WYCHE FOWLER, JR., OF GEORGIA, TO BE AMBASSADOR EXTRAORDINARY AND PLENIPOTENTIARY OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA TO THE KINGDOM OF SAUDI ARABIA, TO WHICH POSITION HE WAS APPOINTED DURING THE LAST RECESS OF THE SENATE.

##### NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

JOHN E. HIGGINS, JR., OF MARYLAND, TO BE A MEMBER OF THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD FOR THE TERM OF 5 YEARS EXPIRING AUGUST 27, 2001, VICE CHARLES I. COHEN, RESIGNED, TO WHICH POSITION HE WAS APPOINTED DURING THE LAST RECESS OF THE SENATE.

##### FEDERAL MINE SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION

MARY LUCILLE JORDAN, OF MARYLAND, TO BE A MEMBER OF THE FEDERAL MINE SAFETY AND HEALTH RE-

VIEW COMMISSION FOR A TERM OF 6 YEARS EXPIRING AUGUST 30, 2002, (REAPPOINTMENT), TO WHICH POSITION SHE WAS APPOINTED DURING THE LAST RECESS OF THE SENATE.

##### CORPORATION FOR PUBLIC BROADCASTING

HEIDI H. SCHULMAN, OF CALIFORNIA, TO BE A MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE CORPORATION FOR PUBLIC BROADCASTING FOR A TERM EXPIRING JANUARY 31, 2002, VICE MARTHA BUCHANAN, RESIGNED, TO WHICH POSITION SHE WAS APPOINTED DURING THE LAST RECESS OF THE SENATE.

##### DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

KEVIN L. THURM, OF NEW YORK, TO BE DEPUTY SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, VICE WALTER D. BROADNAX, RESIGNED, TO WHICH POSITION HE WAS APPOINTED DURING THE LAST RECESS OF THE SENATE.

##### IN THE COAST GUARD

THE FOLLOWING REGULAR OFFICERS OF THE U.S. COAST GUARD FOR PROMOTION TO THE GRADE OF CAPTAIN:

JOSEPH F. AHEARN  
JEFFREY G. LANTZ  
ADAN D. GUERRERO  
WALTER S. MILLER  
MARK E. BLUMFELDER  
RICHARD W. GOODCHILD  
JON T. BYRD  
DAVID W. RYAN  
JEFFREY FLORIN  
JOHN C. SIMPSON  
WILLIAM C. BENNETT  
JOEL R. WHITEHEAD  
JAMES J. LOBER, JR.  
WAYNE D. GUSMAN

MICHAEL J. DEVINE  
SCOTT F. KAYSER  
JAMES B. CRAWFORD  
WILLIAM J. HUTMACHER  
GLENN L. SNYDER  
DOUGLAS P. RUDOLPH  
JOHN L. GRENIER  
TIMOTHY S. SULLIVAN  
MARK G. VANHAVERBEKE  
JAMES SABO  
PAUL C. ELLNER  
STEVEN A. NEWELL  
DOUGLAS E. MARTIN  
RICHARD A. ROTH  
LAWRENCE M. BROOKS

THE FOLLOWING RESERVE OFFICER OF THE U.S. COAST GUARD FOR PROMOTION TO THE GRADE OF CAPTAIN:

CATHERINE M. KELLY

THE FOLLOWING OFFICERS OF THE U.S. COAST GUARD PERMANENT COMMISSIONED TEACHING STAFF AT THE COAST GUARD ACADEMY FOR PROMOTION TO THE GRADE INDICATED:

##### To be commander

ROBERT R. ALBRIGHT, II  
LUCRETIA A. FLAMMANG

##### To be lieutenant commander

JAMES R. DIRE

THE FOLLOWING REGULAR OFFICERS OF THE U.S. COAST GUARD FOR PROMOTION TO THE GRADE OF COMMANDER:

GEORGE A. RUSSELL, JR.  
Patrick J. Cunningham,  
Jr.  
Dane S. Egli  
Jeffrey S. Gordon  
Bret K. McGough  
Jody B. Turner  
Mark L. McEwen  
Mark A. Skordinski  
Donald K. Strother  
Francis X. Irr, Jr.  
Robert A. Farmer  
Richard M. Kaser  
Kurtis J. Guth  
Gary E. Felicietti  
Daniel A. Laliberte  
Kurt W. Devoe  
Robert J. Legier  
Robert E. Korroch  
Thomas P. Ostebo  
MARK A. PRESCOTT  
KENNETH H. SHERWOOD  
MARK S. GUILLORY  
PRESTON D. GIBSON  
DAVID L. HILL  
MICHAEL P. FARRELL  
RICHARD A. STANCHI  
SCOTT S. GRAHAM  
MARK R. DEVRIES  
KENNETH R. BURGESS, JR.  
WARREN L. HASKOVEC  
JENNIFER L. YOUNT  
BARRY P. SMITH  
WILLIAM D. LEE  
JOHN R. LINDLEY, JR.  
ROBERT R. O'BRIEN, JR.  
SCOTT G. WOOLMAN  
WILLIAM W. WHITSON, JR.  
LARRY E. SMITH  
MARK A. FROST  
MITCHELL R. FORRESTER  
PATRICK J. NEMETH  
CURTIS A. STOCK  
Christopher K.  
Lockwood  
Barry L. Dragon  
Michael D. Brand  
Bruce E. Grinnel  
Brian K. Swanson  
Robert J. Malkowski  
Brian J. Goettler  
Charles W. Ray

Stephen J. Minutolo  
Virginia K. Holtzman-Bell  
Mathew M. Blizard  
Richard A. Rendon  
Bryan D. Schroder  
John W. Yager, Jr.  
Marshall B. Lytle, III  
Thomas D. Criman  
Stephen J. Ohnstad  
Carol C. Bennett  
Thomas E. Hobaica  
David S. Stevenson  
James T. Hubbard  
George P. Vance, Jr.  
Robert M. Atkin  
Christine D. Balboni  
Mark D. Rutherford  
Patrick B. Trapp  
Dennis D. Blackall  
Bradley R. Moze  
Richard J. Ferraro  
Richard L. Matters  
Ekundayo G. Faux  
David L. Lersch  
Ricki G. Benson  
Norman L. Custard, Jr.  
Gregory B. Breithaupt  
STEVEN E. VANDERPLAS  
FREDERICK J. KENNEY, JR.  
STEVEN J. BOYLE  
THOMAS K. RICHEY  
DENNIS A. HOFFMAN  
DAVID M. GUNDERSEN  
JEFFREY N. GARDEN  
JAMES E. TUNSTALL  
KEVIN G. QUIGLEY  
JOHN R. OCHS  
RONALD D. HASSLER  
TIMOTHY J. DELLOT  
KENNETH D. FORSLUND  
TOMAS ZAPATA  
DENNIS M. SENS  
PETER V. NEFFPENGHER  
ALVIN M. COYLE  
DANIEL R. MACLEOD  
MELISSA A. WALL  
ROBERT M. WILKINS  
CURTIS A. SPRINGER  
TIMOTHY G. JOBE  
CHRISTIAN BROXTERMAN  
RICKY W. GEORGE  
ELMO L. ALEXANDER, II

##### IN THE AIR FORCE

THE FOLLOWING-NAMED OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT TO THE GRADE OF LIEUTENANT GENERAL IN THE U.S.